

**From:** [Valerie Oster](#)  
**To:** [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Cc:** [Rick Applegate](#); [Patty Dost \Schwabe\](#); [wolffg@plu.edu](#); [J Betz](#); [Bob Wyatt](#); [Carl Stivers](#); [valerie](#); [Keith Pine](#); [david.ashton@portofportland.com](#); [Jim McKenna@portofportland.com](#); [greveals@integral-corp.com](#)  
**Subject:** 5/28 FT call  
**Date:** 05/28/2008 11:05 AM

---

Chip, Eric,

Jim and Bob requested that I send you the following for today's call on Fate and Transport:

EPA commented on January 15, 2008 that chemical degradation rates should be set to near zero and did not recognize that degradation rates are one of a few potentially useful calibration parameters. In later discussions, EPA indicated they would prefer that a "realistic" degradation rate be chosen and applied and that model calibration need not be conducted. The LWG expressed concerns that EPA's proposed approach has larger ramifications because calibration of models is required under EPA guidance for well founded technical reasons. Discussion also included the concept of selecting a reasonable range of realistic degradation rates and that selected values in that range could be compared to empirical data as an "approximate" calibration procedure. The LWG has concerns about working with a model that is not calibrated (i.e., application of a pre-selected degradation rate).

For technical defensibility, the LWG needs to calibrate the model (with degradation and/or other parameters such as estimated source loads) to make formal assessments of model uncertainty. The LWG could also run the model using EPA's pre-selected degradation rate values and compare those results to empirical data consistent with EPA's suggested "approximate" calibration procedure. The model run using the pre-selected degradation value that most closely approximates empirical data could then be compared to the fully calibrated model and if possible, adjusted so that a similar calibration is achieved using the pre-selected degradation value. This version of the model could then be used for various future modeling assessment purposes (e.g., evaluation of recontamination potential in the FS).

thanks  
valerie

Valerie Thompson Oster  
Anchor Environmental, L.L.C  
6650 SW Redwood Lane, Suite 333  
Portland, OR 97224  
Phone: 503-670-1108 x19  
Fax: 503-670-1128

The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by electronic mail at [voster@anchorenv.com](mailto:voster@anchorenv.com) <<mailto:voster@anchorenv.com>>